

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/608,908 06/27/2003		06/27/2003	Jianbo Lu	202-0762 (FGT-1678 PA)	2435
28549	7590	06/29/2006		EXAMINER	
ARTZ & Al	•		GOINS, DAVETTA WOODS		
28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250 SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2612	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/608,908	LU ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Davetta W. Goins	2612
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport in Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the second sec	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5) 6) 7) 8) Applicat i 9) 10)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath of the oath of the oath of the oath of the	er. cepted or b) objected to by the letton is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burease the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contraction (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔀 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 23-33 are allowed.
- 2. Claims 5, 9, 13-16 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-12, 17-19, 21, 22 and 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Woywod et al. (US Pat. 6,366,844 B1).

In reference to claims 1, 17, 34, 35, Woywod discloses the claimed method providing an operating input torque to the wheel, determining the operating input torque to the wheel, determining a wheel response to the operating input torque, and determining a wheel lift condition as a function of the operating input torque, the rotational speed of the wheel and the wheel response, which is met by the lifting of the wheel can be detected by way of the slip behavior which corresponds to the engine torque; the rotational behavior of the wheels when low torque is applied can indicate the lifting of the wheel (col. 3, lines 59-67; col. 4, lines 1-31).

In reference to claims 2, 18, 36, 38, Woywod discloses the claimed method of determining a wheel response comprising determining a wheel slip rate for the wheel, which is met by the determining device 430 determining the wheel slip values (col. 3, lines 44-58).

In reference to claims 3, 4, 19, Woywod discloses the claimed method of determining a wheel lift condition comprises determining a wheel lift condition in response to comparing the wheel slip rate to a slip rate threshold, which is met by when determining the wheel slip values, the determining device 430 designates second comparison devices which compare the determined slip values with threshold values (col. 3, lines 44-67).

In reference to claims 6-8, 21, 22, 37, Woywod discloses the claimed wheel response comprises determining a wheel acceleration, which is met by numeral 411 designating a comparison device which compares the determined value of the transverse acceleration with a reference value 412 (col. 3, lines 1-29). The evaluating device 421 may link

In reference to claims 10-12, Woywod discloses the claimed method of determining a wheel response comprises determining a wheel slip for the wheel and a wheel acceleration, which is met by the third determining device 430 determining wheel slip values. A critical transverse acceleration can be identified when the wheel slip values on the behind-inward wheels are in access first threshold values (col. 3, lines 44-58).

Art Unit: 2612

5. The prior art of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's

disclosure as follows. Mine (US Pat. 5,515,277), Sakamoto (US Pat. 6,601,927 B2) and

Schramm et al. (US Pat. 6,756,890 B1), which disclose vehicle monitoring systems.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Davetta W. Goins whose telephone number is 571-272-2957.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri with every other Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Davetta W. Goins Primary Examiner Art Unit 2612

D.W.G.

June 26, 2006

@ ovetta W. Louis